Evaluation Technical Report
for a
Target of Evaluation

[Name of the TOE, date(if applicable) and version number goes here (include patches number and other applicable version numbers that uniquely identify the TOE)]

[List the version number and date of the Security Target (ST) here]
[List the PP(s) that the TOE is claiming compliance to here (List the name(s), version numbers and dates of the PP(s)).]

[Version number of ETR goes here along with the date of the report]

Evaluated by:
[Name of the evaluation facility with laboratory logo]

Prepared for:
National Information Assurance Partnership
Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme

CCEVS T6000, ver 1 (2/06/01)

The Developer of the TOE:
[The developer of the TOE goes here (name, address,…)]

The TOE Evaluation was Sponsored by:
[The sponsor of the TOE evaluation goes here (name, address, …)]

Evaluation Personnel:
[List of evaluation personnel]

Common Criteria Version
[Version number and date goes here]

Common Evaluation Methodology Version
[Version number and date goes here]

National Interpretations
[List the National Interpretations that affected the evaluation here. The number of the interpretation followed by the title of the interpretation should be listed. One listing per line.
[Interpretation # and title go here]

International Interpretations
[List the International Interpretations that affected the evaluation here. The number of the interpretation followed by the title of the interpretation should be listed. One listing per line.
[CCIMB-INTERP # and title go here]

1 TOE Overview

[This section of the ETR should present a high-level overview of the TOE. It should briefly describe the TOE. The purpose of this overview is to provide an introduction to specific concepts that might be necessary to understand subsequent sections of the report, as well as to provide a picture of what is under evaluation.

For this section it may be appropriate to reference sections of the ST.]

2 Architectural Description of the TOE

[This section provides a high level description of the IT product and its major components based on the deliverables described in the Common Criteria assurance family entitled Development High Level Design (ADV_HLD). The intent of the section is to characterise the degree of architectural separation of the major components. This section is not going to be needed if the assurance family ADV_HLD is not present in the assurance package of the Security Target.]

3 Evaluation

[In this section the evaluator reports the evaluation methods, techniques, tools and standards used. The evaluator may reference the devices used to perform the tests.

The evaluator reports any constraints on the evaluation, constraints on the distribution of evaluation results and assumptions made during the evaluation that have an impact on the evaluation results. The evaluator may include information in relation to legal or statutory aspects, organization, confidentiality, etc.]

4 Results of Evaluation

[This section of the ETR presents the results of the evaluation. Assurance results of the evaluation are reported in this section of the ETR.

Interpretations (National and International) are to be considered for all evaluation results that are reported in the ETR.]

4.1 Assurance Requirement Results

[This section reports assurance requirement results.]

4.1.1 Common Criteria Assurance Components

[The evaluator is to report all information specifically required by a CEM work unit.

For each activity on which the ST and product/system is evaluated, the evaluator shall report:
- the title of the activity considered;
- a verdict and a supporting rationale for each assurance component that constitutes this activity, as a result of performing the corresponding CEM action and its constituent work units.

The rationale justifies the verdict using the CC, the CEM, any interpretations and the evaluation evidence examined and shows how the evaluation evidence does or does not meet each aspect of the criteria. It contains a description of the work performed, the method used, and any derivation of results. The rationale may provide detail to the level of a CEM work unit.]

4.1.1.1 Testing and Vulnerability Assessment

[The testing and vulnerability assessment activities conducted during the evaluation are to be reported by the evaluator in the ETR. The reporting and level of detail is to be based on the testing and vulnerability components that appear in the ST.

For the AVA and ATE activities, work units that identify information to be reported in the ETR have been defined.]

4.1.2 Assurance Components without Methodology

[There are potentially three circumstances that exist when an assurance activity will not have methodology written for it. These circumstances are:

- Common Criteria assurance components that do not contain methodology in the CEM,
- Explicitly stated assurance activities, and
- National and International Interpretations that affect a Common Criteria assurance requirement in a way that requires new methodology to satisfy the interpretation.

If any of these circumstances affect the evaluation proper reporting of the developed methodology and results of applying the developed methodology need to be undertaken in the ETR. The methodology developed needs to be included and reported to the level of detail that is specified in the other assurance sections of the ETR.

When testing and vulnerability assessment assurance methodology needs to be developed the CCTL needs to work with CCEVS to specify what needs to be reported with in the ETR. In general, testing and vulnerability assessment documents generated by the CCTL and the activities carried out by the CCTL to satisfy the methodology will need to be reported in the ETR.]

4.1.3 Supplemental Security Functional Requirement Results - OPTIONAL

[The evaluation team is not required to include this section in the report. At the team's discretion, this section of the report would contain supplemental material describing the TOE's satisfaction of the security functional requirements, if such material is deemed helpful. Information presented in this section is that which has not already been included in the contents of the TSS.]

5 Conclusions

[The evaluator shall report the conclusions of the evaluation, which will relate to whether the TOE has satisfied its associated ST, in particular the overall verdict as defined in CC Part 1 Chapter 5, and determined by application of the verdict assignment described in Section 1.4, Evaluator verdicts.

Any conclusion statements should be constrained to the functional and assurance requirements, environment, and objectives specified in the ST.]

6 Recommendations

[The evaluator provides recommendations that may be useful for the CCEVS and the Validator. These recommendations may include shortcomings of the IT product discovered during the evaluation or mention of features which are particularly useful.

Any recommendation statements should be constrained to the functional and assurance requirements, environment, and objectives specified in the ST.]

7 Evaluation Evidence

[The evaluator shall report for each item of evaluation evidence the following information:

- the issuing body (e.g. the developer, the sponsor);
- the title;
- the unique reference (e.g. issue date and version number).]

8 Validated Products List (VPL) Entry

[This section should contain the VPL entry for the TOE that will be posted on the web-site.]

9 List of Acronyms

[The evaluator reports any acronyms or abbreviations used in the ETR.]

10 Glossary of Terms

[Glossary definitions already defined by the CC or CEM need not be repeated in the ETR. The evaluator needs only report those that are specific to this evaluation.]

11 Observation Reports and Decisions

[The evaluator includes a listing of all observation and decisions reports submitted and received during the course of the evaluation.]

12 National and International Interpretations

[The evaluator includes in full a description of all National and International Interpretations that effected the evaluation. The ETR reports the interpretations as posted by the Scheme and the International CC Community.]

13 Security Target

[The Security Target is considered part of the ETR. It is submitted with the ETR as a separate document.]